The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

2

1

4

5

7

9

10

1112

13

14 15

16 17

٠.

18 19

2Õ

21

22

2324

252627

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

CRISTOBAL ORTIZ,

Defendant-Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Respondent.

NO. C16-1932RSL

(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING MOTION REGARDING WAIVER OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER PETITIONER'S 28 U.S.C. § 2255 MOTION

Having reviewed the Government's Motion for an Order Regarding Waiver of Attorney-Client Privilege and Request for Extension of Time to Answer Petitioner's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Motion ("the Section 2255 Motion"), any response, supplement, or reply thereto, and the records on file, and based upon the reasons set forth in that Motion,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant-Petitioner Cristobal Ortiz, by raising issues related to the adequacy of his legal representation in his Section 2255 Motion, has waived his attorney-client privilege for the purpose of litigation of that motion. Accordingly, Ortiz's prior attorney before the district court, Robert Gombiner (hereinafter, "counsel"), is hereby authorized to disclose, to the government and to the Court, and to provide evidence, documents, and other material related to his

ORDER FOR WAIVER OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER 28 U.S.C. § 2255 MOTION- 1 Cristobal Ortiz, C16-1932RSL

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 STEWART STREET, SUITE 5220 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101 (206) 553-7970

| 1  | representation of Ortiz, including otherwise privileged legal conversations with Ortiz, by     |
|----|--|
| 2  | way of affidavit, testimony, or in any other form, that relate to the allegations set forth in |
| 3  | Ortiz's Section 2255 Motion.   |
| 4  | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, absent further order from this Court, the                          |
| 5  | evidence provided pursuant to this Order shall be used solely for the purpose of litigating    |
| 6  | Ortiz's Section 2255 Motion and shall not be admissible against Ortiz in any other             |
| 7  | proceeding. This Order shall remain in effect even after the Court has ruled on the            |
| 8  | Section 2255 Motion. Both parties retain the right to apply to the Court for modification      |
| 9  | of this Order.   |
| 10 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, absent further order from this Court, the                          |
| 11 | government shall file its answer to Ortiz's Section 2255 Motion no later than April 14,        |
| 12 | 2017.  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | DATED this 22 nd of February, 2017.  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 | Marco  |
| 17 | /MD asuf   |
| 18 | ROBERT S. LASNIK United States District Judge  |
| 19 | Officed States District valge  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 | Presented by:  |
| 22 | /s/ Steven Masada  |
| 23 | STEVEN T. MASADA Assistant United States Attorney  |
| 24 | Assistant Officed States Attorney  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |